

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/595,579	HAM, CORNELIS LEONARDUS GERARDUS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tiffany A. Fetzner	2859

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amended

(1) Tiffany A. Fetzner. (3) _____.

(2) Tom Kocovsky jr. Reg. No. 28,383. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 December 2007

Time: 1pm.

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Examiner amendments to be made to all pending claims and the required removal of the word "like" from all occurrences in the claims so that all limitations are clear and positively set forth.

Prior art documents discussed:

See attached Notice of references cited.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative for permission to make required corrections by examiner's amendment in order to resolve formal matters, clarify the novelty of applicant's gradient coil structure, and move the application forward towards allowance. The examiner was thanked for her assistance and time..